RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN EU/EEA IN COMPETITION LAW

Emeritus Professor Richard Whish, KC (Hon)

Annual Competition Law Conference of the Norwegian Competition Authority Bergen 6 June 2023

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN EU AND EEA COMPETITION LAW

STRUCTURE OF PRESENTATION

- HORIZONTAL CASES UNDER ARTICLE 101
 - RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, PP 2-4
- ABUSE OF DOMINANCE CASES
 - RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, PP 5-7
- PENDING ARTICLE 267 REFERENCES
 - RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, PP 15-16
- EU MERGER CONTROL
 - RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, PP 17-19
- IMPORTANT POLICY DEVELOPMENTS
 - RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, PP 12-14

ARTICLE 101 TFEU

ONLY TWO COMMISSION DECISIONS IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS

- METAL PACKAGING: FINE OF €31.5 MILLION
- STYRENE MONOMER PURCHASING MARKET: FINE OF €157 MILLION FOR A PURCHASING CARTEL
- ONLY ONE JUDGMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE, CASE C-883/19 HSBC V COMMISSION. TWO LEGAL ISSUES:
 - THE LEGITIMACY OF 'STAGGERED HYBRID SETTLEMENTS'
 - THE RELEVANCE OF PRO-COMPETITION ARGUMENTS AS PART OF THE CHARACTERISATION OF 'OBJECT' RESTRICTIONS

ARTICLE 101: UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS

• THE COMMISSION HAS CONDUCTED NUMEROUS DAWN RAIDS:

- WOOD PULP: 12 OCTOBER 2021
- DEFENCE SECTOR: 23 NOVEMBER 2021
- RECYCLING OF CARS: 15 MARCH 2022; CMA ALSO INVESTIGATING
- FASHION INDUSTRY: 17 MAY 2022; 18 APRIL 2023
- WATER INFRASTRUCTURE: 14 JUNE 2022
- ONLINE FOOD DELIVERY: 6 JULY 2022
- FRAGRANCE INDUSTRY: 7 MARCH 2023 US, UK AND SWITZERLAND ALSO INVESTIGATING

ARTICLE 101: UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS

- IN THE EU THE COMMISSION MUST HAVE REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR SUSPECTING AN INFRINGEMENT
- IF THERE ARE NO REASONABLE GROUNDS, THE DECISION ITSELF CAN BE CHALLENGED:
 - ČESKÉ DRÁHY AS V COMMISSION; LES MOUSQUETAIRES V COMMISSION
 - IN LES MOUSQUETAIRES (MARCH 2023, RD P 10) THE COMMISSION'S DECISION WAS ANNULLED AS IT HAD NOT MADE A NOTE OF INTERVIEWS WITH COMPLAINANTS ON WHICH ITS DECISIONS WERE BASED (CF INTEL, QUALCOMM, GOOGLE ANDROID)

Norwegian Competition Authority 6 June 2023

ARTICLE 101: UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS

- THE COMMISSION IS CONDUCTING A REVIEW OF REGULATION 1/2003, THE IMPLEMENTING REGULATION THAT GIVES THE COMMISSION THE POWER TO ENFORCE COMPETITION LAW
- TO WHAT EXTENT DO THE RULES ON DAWN RAIDS REQUIRE AMENDMENT?
 - DIGITAL SEARCHES
 - SEARCHES OF HOMES
 - CONSEQUENCES OF UNLAWFUL ACTS DURING DAWN RAIDS

ARTICLE 101: UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS

- IN THE MEANTIME THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS CHALLENGES TO DAWN RAIDS AT MEMBER STATE LEVEL; FOR EXAMPLE IN RECENT TIMES:
 - PORTUGAL'S CONSTITUTIONAL TRIBUNAL, 16 MARCH 2023: INSPECTION OF E-MAILS WITHOUT JUDICIAL APPROVAL UNCONSTITUTIONAL
 - SPAIN'S SUPREME COURT, 28 FEBRUARY 2023: INSPECTION ANNULLED AS FIRM NOT INFORMED WHETHER THERE WAS A COURT ORDER
 - ECHR, 4 APRIL 2023: LITHUANIAN LEGAL SYSTEM VIOLATED ARTICLE 8 HUMAN RIGHTS CONVENTION AS NO EFFECTIVE LEGAL REMEMDY

ARTICLE 102 TFEU

- ON 27 MARCH 2023 THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION ANNOUNCED A NEW INITIATIVE CONCERNING ARTICLE 102 TFEU
- IT AMENDED ITS EXISTING GUIDANCE ON ARTICLE 102 ENFORCEMENT PRIORITIES
- AND INITIATED A PROCEDURE THAT WILL (PROBABLY) LEAD TO THE ADOPTION OF GUIDELINES ON ARTICLE 102 IN 2025
- WHAT IS THE REASON FOR THIS INITIATIVE?

ARTICLE 102 TFEU

- IN RECENT YEARS THERE HAS BEEN AN UNPRECEDENTED NUMBER OF ARTICLE 102 CASES
- MANY WERE AGAINST THE GAFA COMPANIES
- BUT ALSO AGAINST PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES AND VARIOUS UTILITIES (FOR EXAMPLE RAIL, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, GAS, ELECTRICITY -PENDING CASES RD PP 5-6)
- SINCE THE COMMISSION'S GUIDANCE ON ENFORCEMENT PRIORITIES (2008) THERE HAVE BEEN 32 JUDGMENTS OF THE EU COURTS ON ARTICLE 102

ARTICLE 102 TFEU

- THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS IMPORTANT DEVELOPMENTS OVER THIS PERIOD, BOTH IN TERMS OF JURISPRUDENCE AND IN POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC THINKING
- THE TIME IS RIPE FOR A REVIEW OF WHERE WE ARE AND WHERE WE SHOULD BE GOING
- THIS IS OCCURRING AGAINST THE BACKDROP OF THE ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE DIGITAL MARKETS ACT
- AND OF AN INCREASING CONSENSUS INTERNATIONALLY IN FAVOUR OF ENFORCEMENT

ARTICLE 102 TFEU PARTICULAR ISSUES

- HOW FORMALISTICALLY CAN ARTICLE 102 BE APPLIED?
 - ARE THERE ANY '*PER SE*' RULES?
 - IS EFFECTS ANALYSIS REQUIRED IN THE CASE, EG, OF REBATES TO REWARD LOYALTY/EXCLUSIVITY?: SEE INTEL, QUALCOMM, GOOGLE ANDROID
 - IS EFFECTS ANALYSIS REQUIRED IN THE CASE OF EXCLUSIVITY ITSELF?: SEE UNILEVER
- IF EFFECTS ANALYSIS IS REQUIRED IN ALL ABUSE CASES, WHAT DO WE MEAN BY EFFECTS?

ARTICLE 102 TFEU PARTICULAR ISSUES

- IF EFFECTS ANALYSIS IS REQUIRED, DOES THIS NECESSITATE THE APPLICATION OF THE 'AS EFFICIENT COMPETITOR' TEST?
- NOTE THE COMMISSION'S AMENDMENT TO THE 2008 GUIDANCE: IN SOME CASES HARM TO A LESS EFFICIENT COMPETITOR CAN ALSO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT: POST DANMARK, UNILEVER
- FURTHERMORE, IT IS NOT MANDATORY TO APPLY A PRICE-COST AS EFFICIENT COMPETITOR TEST TO PROVE FORECLOSURE: INTEL, UNILEVER

ARTICLE 102 TFEU PARTICULAR ISSUES

 DOMINANT FIRMS HAVE OFTEN ARGUED (UNSUCCESSFULLY) THAT COMPETITION AUTHORITIES HAVE UNFAIRLY AVOIDED THE STRICT CRITERIA OF THE OSCAR BRONNER/ESSENTIAL FACILITIES CASE LAW

• TELIASONERA, SLOVAK TELEKOM, LG V COMMISSION

 THE GUIDANCE IS AMENDED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THE COMMISSION DOES NOT CONSIDER A CONSTRUCTIVE REFUSAL TO DEAL CASE REQUIRES THE APPLICATION OF OSCAR BRONNER

PENDING ARTICLE 267 REFERENCES

- NOTE SEVERAL IMPORTANT PENDING ARTICLE 267 REFERENCES ON ASPECTS OF ARTICLE 101 TFEU:
 - FOOTBALL GOVERNANCE:
 - CASE C-333/21 EUROPEAN SUPER LEAGUE V FIFA AND UEFA
 - CASE C-680/21 ROYAL ANTWERP FC V ROYAL BELGIAN FA
 - CASE C-650/22 FEDERATION INTERNATIONALE DE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATIONS V BZ
 - NON-COMPETE CLAUSES:
 - CASE C-331/21 ENERGIAS DE PORTUGAL V ADC
 - RPM/OBJECT RESTRICTIONS:
 - CASE C-211/22 SUPER BOCK BEBIDAS
 - EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION:
 - CASE C-298/22 BANCO BPN V BIC PORTUGUÊS AND OTHERS

Norwegian Competition Authority 6 June 2023

MERGER CONTROL

• JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES PROMINENT:

- ARTICLE 22 EUMR
 - COMMISSION'S REVISED GUIDANCE ON ARTICLE 22
 - ON ARTICLE 22 EUMR SEE *ILLUMINA/GRAIL*: CASE T-227/21, 13 JULY 2022, ON APPEAL CASE C-611/22, NOT YET DECIDED
 - ON SUBSTANCE SEE ILLUMINA/GRAIL: CASE T-709/22, NOT YET DECIDED
 - NOTE ALSO CASE T-755/21, APPEAL AGAINST THE INTERIM MEASURES DECISION
 - QUERY: WILL THERE BE A FINE FOR GUN-JUMPING?
- CASE C-449/21 TOWERCAST V AUTORITÉ DE LA CONCURRENCE, JUDGMENT OF 16 MARCH 2023
- ARTICLE 14 DIGITAL MARKETS ACT

POLICY DEVELOPMENTS: EU

- DRAFT NOTICE ON MARKET DEFINITION
- GUIDELINES ON EU COMPETITION LAW AND COLLECTIVE AGREEMENTS OF SELF-EMPLOYED PERSONS, 29 SEPTEMBER 2022
- REFORM OF THE REGIME FOR HORIZONTAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS, 1 JUNE 2023
- CONSULTATION ON SUSTAINABILITY AGREEMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR
- NEW VERTICALS BLOCK EXEMPTION

POLICY DEVELOPMENTS: EU

- REVIEWS OF MOTOR VEHICLE, LINER CONSORTIA AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER REGULATIONS
- REVIEW OF ARTICLE 102
- FOREIGN SUBSIDIES REGULATION
- NEW GUIDANCE ON SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURE FOR MERGERS, 20 APRIL 2023
- IMPLEMENTING RULES FOR THE DIGITAL MARKETS ACT

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Norwegian Competition Authority 6 June 2023 Richard Whish King's College London

18